(01)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(C) NO. 3111/2007

PETITIONER Mani Ram Sahu S/o. Kolhu Ram Sahu, aged about 45 years, resident of Village Kodabhat, Police Station and Tahsil Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa (CG)

Vs.

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through the Secretary, Department of Engergy, D.K.S.Building, Raipur (CG)
2. The Director General of Police, PHQ, Raipur, Tahsil and District Raipur (CG)
3. The Chairman, Chhattisgarh, State Electricity Board, Raipur (CG)
4. The General Manager, Jindal Power Limited, O.P.Jindal Super Thermal Power Plant, Village and Post Tamnar, Tahsil Gharghoda, District Raigarh (CG)
5. The Collector, Janjgir Champa, District Janjgir Champa (CG)
6. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa (CG)
7. Station House Officer, Police Station Pamgarh, District Janjgir Champa (CG)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(SB: Hon'ble Mr. **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.)**

Shri Shailendra Dubey, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Prashant Mishra, Advocate General with Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the State.
Shri A.S.Geharwar, counsel for respondent No.3.
Shri B.D.Guru, Advocate with Shri S.R.J.Jaiswal, counsel for respondent No.4.

O R D E R

(Passed on this 15th day of November 2007)

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled to compensation for the land which has been rendered useless on account of erection of High Tension Power Line.

2. Learned Advocate General appearing for the State and Shri B.D.Guru, learned counsel appearing for respondent No.4 submit that the petitioner will be entitled to compensation as determined by the Collector or Competent Authority of the district. The same will be done within two months.

3. In view of the clear statement made by the Advocate General and counsel appearing for the respondent No.4, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that nothing survives in the matter at this stage. It is thus, not necessary to go into the merits of the case. However, if the grievance of the petitioner survives after determination of the compensation, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to challenge the same, if so advised.

4. Thus, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Suguna